Some Egypto-Semitic Roots

Author(s): W. A. Ward

Source: Orientalia, 1962, NOVA SERIES, Vol. 31, No. 4 (1962), pp. 397-412

Published by: GBPress- Gregorian Biblical Press

Stable URL: https://www.jstor.org/stable/43073712

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at https://about.jstor.org/terms



is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to $\ensuremath{\textit{Orientalia}}$

Some Egypto-Semitic Roots

W. A. WARD - Beirut

Since a few symbols used for transliterating Egyptian phonemes are also used to represent completely unrelated Semitic phonemes, it is necessary to make certain changes in the standard method of transliterating Egyptian. Therefore, in the following paragraphs:

$$---=z$$
, $| = s$, $\triangle = q$, $\Longrightarrow = \check{c}$, $= \check{j}$.

I. Egyptian t_3h = Semitic dlh

The Egyptian root tih means "trouble, disturb," as pointed out by Dévaud some time ago (= Coptic $t\bar{o}h$, "be mixed, disturbed, clouded") (1). Two cases in Late Egyptian offer a derived sense, "submerge", or the like (2). The noun tih, appears only in medical texts and indicates the dregs of a thick liquid like wine or beer that settle to the bottom of a container (3). The noun tih, which appears in Pyr. 420, has been taken as a verb but was correctly identified by Sethe as "mud, slime" (4), which is amply corroborated by the Semitic cognates noted below. The basic verbal meaning of the root is thus the disturbing of a liquid while the essential significance of the nominal derivatives is the thick sediment (dregs or mud) which settles at the bottom of a body of liquid. From the basic verbal meaning comes the notion of "disturbing" or "troubling" in the abstract sense (5).

- (1) Dévaud, Études d'étymologie copte (Fribourg, 1923), pp. 22-23.
- (2) Wörterbuch V, 233; found only in Pap. Lansing 7, 3 and Pap. Anastasi V, 16, 7— one is tith taken as "thrown into a well". Translated "soused" by Caminos, Late-Egyptian Miscellanies (Oxford, 1954), p. 249.
- (3) Wörterbuch V, 233-34. Cf. Barns, Five Ramesseum Papyri (Oxford, 1956), No. V, 29 (hnqt t:h.t) and 34 (irp t:h.t) in a pharmaceutical recipe, as often elsewhere.
 - (4) Wörterbuch V. 233.8 Cf. Sethe, Kommentar II, p. 183.
- (5) Such as noted by Dévaud, loc. cit. A related meaning appears in the causative st.h, "trouble" (the heart), as in Pap. Beatty I, C, 8.

Orientalia - 26

The Semitic cognate is \sqrt{dlh} : Akkadian $dal\bar{a}hu$, "stir up, roil (water), confuse, trouble," dalhu, "muddy, cloudy, confused," dilhu, "mud," dalihu, "confusion, distress" (1); Hebrew $d\bar{a}lah$, "disturb, make water turbid or disturbed" (2). Both the early age at which this root is evidenced in Egyptian as well as the phonetic shifts involved show this root to be Egypto-Semitic (3). Several other derived nouns in Egyptian can be better explained by reference to the Semitic cognates: th and th, wt, words of a derogatory nature, must mean something like "muddy (one)" (4); th, applied to inhabitants of the Delta, would be "mud-dwellers" (5).

II. Egyptian tr = Semitic d(w)r

The original sense of Semitic \sqrt{dwr} is "encircle," from which come the notions of "time, generation, continuity," etc.: Arabic $d\bar{a}r$, "turn, revolve, elapse (time)," $d\bar{a}r$, "year, house, tribe," dawr, "circumference"; Hebrew $d\bar{o}r$, "generation"; Akkadian $d\bar{a}r$, "continuously," $d\bar{u}ru$, "perpetuity, continuity" (6), $d\bar{a}ru$, "generation"

- (1) Cf. Chicago Assyrian Dictionary (CAD), Vol. D, pp. 43 ff.
- (2) wattidhlah mayim beraghlekhā, "You disturb the waters with your feet" (Ezek. 32:2).
- (3) The shift r > t no longer took place after the Middle Kingdom; cf. Albright JAOS 74 (1954), 224. While in Egypto-Semitic roots Egyptian t normally represents Semitic t or t, there are some rare cases where it represents Semitic d: Egyptian ktt, "be small," = Ugaritic and Aramaic kdd, "child" Cf. also Egyptian tr = Semitic dr and Egyptian tm = Semitic dm in the following paragraphs.
- (4) Wörterbuch V, 234.7: tih, "Bez. für einen Schädling"; Wörterbuch V, 233,12: tih.wt, especially in Two Brothers 7, 8, "You carried your lance on the word of a ki.t tihwt," referring to Anubis' wife who had falsely accused Bata of rape. The literal rendering would be "muddy (dirty) female organs," paraphrased as "filthy whore" by Wilson in Pritchard (ed.), Ancient Near Eastern Texts (Princeton, 1950), p. 25.
 - (5) Wörterbuch V, 234.9.
- (e) CAD Vol. D, p. 197, disassociates $d\bar{u}ru$, "fortress," and $d\bar{u}ru$, "settlement," from "a root referring to a cyclic movement"; ibid., p. 108, similarly disassociates $d\bar{u}r$, "continuously," from "any root referring to a circle or a cycle." This would presumably mean that we must separate the Arabic root from this series. I am inclined to doubt that these roots are unrelated though, even should the analysis of CAD be accepted, the Egyptian cognate still belongs with the West Semitic and Akkadian words listed here and the Egypto-Semitic character of the root is unquestioned. On Hebrew $d\bar{o}r$ and Akkadian $d\bar{u}ru$, cf. now Albright BASOR 163 (1961), 50-51.

tion" (1); Ugaritic dr, "assemblage," dr dr, "eternity" (2); Aramaic dār, "generation," dūra', "village"; etc. The Egyptian cognate is tr which means "time" in all nuances — morning, evening, time of a certain event, season, generation, etc. — and which can also function in a more abstract sense (3). Note especially sjīsw nsw r-tr r-tr.wy, "One for whom the king continuously provides" (4); the phrase r-tr r-tr.wy is here used exactly like Akkadian ana dār dūr, Hebrew ledōr dōr, "forever" (5). It is also possible that Egyptian tr may once be used as a verb: m rn.k imy ssh, tr.k r p.t tr.k r ts "In thy name He-who-is-in-Orion, thou spendest time in heaven, thou spendest time on earth" (6).

- (1) Taken as a West Semitic loan by CAD Vol. D, p. 115.
- (2) Ugaritic dr is used parallel to mphrt, "assemblage," and refers to the "circle" of deities who serve the head of the pantheon.
 - (3) Wörterbuch V, 313 ff.
- (4) Hieroglyphic Texts... in the British Museum IV (London, 1931), pl. 37, lines 8-9 from the right. Middle Kingdom.
- (5) Exodus 3:15: "I shall be remembered generation (after) generation, that is, continuously throughout all following generations = "forever," which is the exact meaning of Egyptian r-tr r-tr.wy. This may also serve to explain the later Egyptian term sift tr which indicates a kind of oath and which, based on the Semitic cognates to tr. has been correctly interpreted by Wilson as "undertaking fealty," in JNES 7 (1948), 130. Note particularly the oath of allegience required of Thutmosis III from his defeated enemies in his Gebel Barkal Stela, line 24. It is difficult to deny that the sife r-tr r-tr.wy of the Middle Kingdom stela quoted above (n. 4) is the same as the sift tr of the Empire. "To provide continuously " is as close to the idea of giving homage as we could wish and is essential to both parties in any vassal-lord relationship. Thus it is not inconsistant to have the king providing for a subject on the one hand and, on the other, a subject swearing fealty to his master. The oath of fealty in medieval Europe was precisely this kind of agreement; both subject and ruler agreed to provide certain services to the other. I would thus suggest that the sjt \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc of Empire times

gives an expanded spelling of the word tr, "time" and that the extra determinatives were added by confusion with similarly spelled words, a frequent phenomenon of Late Egyptian. Cf. ' $nt \ pdr \ dr$, "now and forever", in Ugaritic Text $I \ Aqht$, 154.

(6) Pyr. 186a. Sethe, Kommentar I, p. 93, notes: "Der Sinn des Satzes, dass der Gott seine eine Zeit an Himmel, die andere 'auf der Erde' verbringe, kann wohl nur sein, dass er des Nachts, solange der Orion sichtbar ist, in diesem Gestirn, seiner Seele verweile, des Tages aber oder wenn der Orion nicht sichtbar ist, an seinem Grabe auf Erden, in

III. Egyptian qri (q3i) = Semitic qry

Egyptian qri, "be by someone, come near, associate with "(1), is cognate to Hebrew $q\bar{a}rah$, "meet, encounter," Ugaritic qry, "meet, come upon," Arabic $qar\bar{a}$, "receive a person as a guest." The Late Egyptian noun qri, "stranger, foreigner"(2), must also be related to this root. That this noun indicates someone who "draws near" from afar is shown in the story that Isis tells Seth in the Contendings of Horus and Seth. In this episode, Isis says: $w^c rm\tilde{c}$ jrjri qri, "a foreigner drew near," and the $rm\tilde{c}$ jrjri is then called a qri (< qri) in the next line which we may define as a stranger who has drawn near from afar (3). There can thus be no doubt that the Late Egyptian noun is a derivative of the older verb qri. The Semitic $\sqrt{qr^2}$, "read, encounter," is also related here and with the Hebrew preposition $liqr\tilde{o}^2th$, "against, opposite," we may compare Late Egyptian r-qri (n), "by."

IV. Egyptian tm = Semitic dm(m)

The originally biconsonantal Semitic root *dm implies the notion of motionlessness or the cessation of activity: Hebrew $d\bar{a}mam$, "be silent, still; cease," $d\bar{a}m\bar{a}h$, "be silent; rest," Arabic $d\bar{a}m$, "stand still"(4). Also included is the well-attested idea of destruction or of

seinem dort ruhenden Leichnam, verweile." The sense of the passage is quite clear and we may justifiably take tr.k as a verbal form. Since the phrase is addressed not to Osiris but to the deceased king (who has now become an Osiris), there is no need for the concern over the use of the second person pronouns which Sethe expresses in his further comments on this passage.

⁽¹⁾ Wörterbuch V, 59.1-7; also written qsi in Late Egyptian; Coptic Eoile. "dwell. visit."

⁽²⁾ Wörterbuch V, 59.8-9; also written qii and qrr. Note Coptic rmp-čoīle, "sojourner."

⁽³⁾ Pap. Beatty I 7, 5-10. The idea of drawing near to someone is explicit in Ugaritic qry: laqryk bntb pš ... bntb gan ašqlk, "Surely I will draw near you on the path of foolhardiness... on the pathway of pride I will fell you" (2 Aqht VI: 43-44); wtqry glmm bšt gr, "And the two lads came upon the Lady of the Mountain" (nt II, 4-5).

⁽⁴⁾ Also cognate are Ugaritic dmm, Akkadian damāmu, "grieve, wail, mourn." (Note Akkadian qālu, "cry out; be silent"). It is not hard to find examples in Hebrew which are best rendered by "wail, mourn": yēšbhū lā'āres yiddemū ziqnē bhat siyōn, "The elders of the Daughter of Zion sit on the ground wailing" (Lam. 2:10, in a passage dealing with

being at a complete end (what is put at rest or silenced is "finished, destroyed"); w*khol anšē hammilḥamāh yiddamū bayyōm hahū, "and all her men-at-arms will be destroyed in that day" (Jer. 49:26).

The Egyptian cognate is tm, "cease, be at an end, destroy" (1), and carries much of the same range of nuances as the Hebrew root: $tm \ iskb \ hr \ itnty$, "Mourning is silenced (tm), in the Double Palace"(2); $n \ ski.k \ n \ tm.k$, "You do not perish, you are not destroyed" (3); $ib \ tmw \ n \ shs.n.f \ sf$, "The mind ceases to function and is even unable to recall yesterday" (4); $tm \ tss.w.f \ n \ gm \ is.wt.f$, "His boundaries cease (to exist), his boundary-markers cannot be found" (5). This verb is also extensively used as the negative auxiliary (6).

We might further inquire if the 2-gem. Egyptian verb tmm, "close, shut," is related to this root. Note Pyr. 230c, in a spell directed against a poisonous snake which is addressed thus: tmm(w) rs.k in šms.t, "Your mouth is closed by the šms.t" (?). The serpent's mouth, hence its poisonous fangs, is hereby rendered harmless by the decapitation of the head and is thus "destroyed" or "silenced." In the Decree of Haremhab the phrase tmm rs is used to describe the kind of officials with whom the king intends to staff his new adminis-

the fall of Zion); $\bar{u}r^e\bar{s}a'\bar{\imath}m$ $bah\bar{o}\bar{s}ekh$ yiddamm \bar{u} , "And the wicked shall moan (mourn) in the darkness" (that is, in Sheol, I Sam 2:9).

⁽¹⁾ Wörterbuch V, 301.4-10. The equation of the dentals is rare but found elsewhere; cf. supra, p. 2 note 3.

⁽²⁾ Pyr. 1009a. Mourning which had accompanied the death of the king has now come to an end. This example shows how closely the ideas of "be silent" and "cease" are related; we might just as well render "Mourning ceases. . "

⁽³⁾ Pyr. 256c. The sense "destroy" is obvious from the passage — what does not "cease to exist" is not "destroyed." This carries out the essential significance of many Hebrew passages where $d\bar{a}mam$ is similarly used in the sense of "cease to exist," hence "be silenced, stilled." Egyptian tm is also used parallel to htm, "destroy," in Pyr. 843b.

⁽⁴⁾ Pap. Prisse 5, 1, in the passage describing the infirmities of old age. We might equally well translate: "the mind (lit. heart) is silenced" (or, at rest, stilled).

⁽⁵⁾ Pyr. 1142c, speaking of the king whose realm is now the universe. His temporal existence was bounded by geographical limits marked off by boundary stones; these now have no more efficacy. All restrictions have been destroyed and his eternal existence knows no limits.

⁽⁶⁾ Wörterbuch V, 302.

⁽⁷⁾ The exact nature of the *šms.t* is unknown though it is some kind of instrument for execution; cf. Sethe, *Kommentar I*, pp. 194-95.

tration. This is best translated as "prudent," that is, "closed" or "silent" of mouth (1). Note also tmm rs.čn ḥr mss.n z nb, "Be silent about what everyone has seen" (2). In view of this close semantic relationship and the originally biconsonantal character of the root, the connection between tm, "cease" and tmm, "shut," seems probable. Interestingly enough, both are biconsonantal and their meanings interchange in Demotic (3).

Further complicating the problem is the Egyptian root tm, "be complete," which is cognate to Hebrew $t\bar{a}mam$, Arabic tamma, "be complete" (4). Egyptian tm, "be complete," is always used in the sense of what is gathered together, what is made perfect, whole or sound by being put together properly, that is, what is properly assembled (5). Hebrew $t\bar{a}mam$, besides indicating what is finished or completed, also means, "perish, be at an end" (6), which obviously coincides partially with Hebrew $d\bar{a}mam$, "be at an end, be still." While this might influence us to consider $t\bar{a}mam$ and $d\bar{a}mam$ as related, we must remember that the original sense of these two roots is quite dif-

- (1) Pflüger, JNES 5 (1946), 265, questions his translation "discreet," suggesting "secretive" or "perfect in speech" as alternatives. However, the constant appeal to the noble classes that they should be reserved, dignified and careful of speech is ample proof that we should consider tmm r₁ as indicative of such injunctions to speak only after deliberation and without haste; especially in the wisdom literature: Ptahhotep 264-69, Amenemope VI, 1 ff., Any, IV,1 ff.
 - (2) Urk. IV, 752.
- (3) Demotic tm, "cease," is also found with the sense of halting or obstructing legal proceedings (Thompson, A Family Archive from Siut [Oxford, 1934], p. 14, n. 26) which corresponds to Demotic tm (old tmm), "stop, obstruct" (Coptic tōm, "shut, close"). Edel, Altägyptische Grammatik I (Rome, 1955), sect. 555a, notes that the transitive use of tm appears in Pyr. 843b though this verb is not normally used thus until Saite times.
 - (4) Wörterbuch V, 303-304.
- (5) Most frequently of the body which is repeatedly described as "complete". that is, whole and without missing parts (*Pyr.*, *passim*; *Pap. of Nu*, Chap. 154, 2-3; etc.). Also in the sense of "finishing" a manufactured object, but not before the Eighteenth Dynasty; cf. Černý and Gardiner, *Hieratic Ostraca* I (Oxford, 1957), pl. LXV, No. 2, Vs. 7-8. This sense is paralleled by the "finishing" of the Torah by Moses (Deut. 31:24).
- (6) Evil prophets shall be slain (yittammū) by sword and famine (Jer. 14:15); the money of Egypt and Canaan is "finished," that is, all gone (Gen 47:15).

ferent: $t\bar{a}mam$ means "finish," $d\bar{a}mam$ means "be motionless." We must therefore keep them separate; the meaning "perish, be at an end" is secondary in both cases.

The relationship between the Hebrew and Egyptian roots under consideration is thus:

Hebrew Egyptian

dāman, "be still, be at an end, tm, "cease, be at an end, destroy" tm, the negative auxiliary tmm, "shut, close, be silenced"

tāmam, "be finished, perish" tm, "be completed, collected together, finished"

There is no relation between the two Egyptian roots. Tm, "cease," always has the sense of being at an end, over and done with, the destruction of what has previously existed. Tm, "complete," indicates what is collected into a complete whole, what is brought together into a coordinated whole to begin a new existence. Its use as "finish" is only in the sense of being newly finished and then only from the Eighteenth Dynasty on.

V. Egyptian ir = Semitic sr

The Hebrew root \mathfrak{F} has a wide range of meaning as well as a variety of forms though the convergence of Protosemitic \mathfrak{F} and d into Hebrew \mathfrak{F} (1) obscures originally different roots. The various Hebrew roots \mathfrak{F} and their Semitic cognates may be given as follows: \mathfrak{F} I: enclose, be in distress

Hebrew: sūr, confine, besiege; sārar, enclose, be narrow, be in

distress; vāsar, be narrow, distressed: sar, narrow,

distress; sārāh, distress; serūr, pouch.

Arabic: şarra, tie; şirat, enclosure; şurrat, pouch, bag.

(1) And less frequently z: Hebrew ṣāmā', Akkadian ṣamā'u, Ugaritic zm', Arabic zamaya, " be thirsty."

Akkadian: aṣāru (wṣr), separate, delimit; uṣurtu, meṣru, border;

șirritu, rope.

Ugaritic: swr, besiege.

sr II: be hostile.

Hebrew: sūr, be hostile against; sārar, show hostility to; sūr,

large rock; sar, adversary; sārāh, fellow-wife (1).

Arabic: dāra, damage; darra, harm, injure; darrat, fellow-wife;

darar, wrong, injury; dūrat, poor, despised.

Akkadian: zēru, dislike, hate; nazāru, injure, insult, curse; zāru,

hatred, hostile, enemy (2).

Ugaritic: şr, vex; şrr, enemy.

sr III: form, fashion.

Hebrew: sūr, fashion, shape; yāsar, form, fashion; sūrāh,

fashion, ground plan; yōṣēr, potter.

Arabic: şawwar, shape; şūrat, picture.

Akkadian: eṣēru (yṣr), fashion, form; eṣertu, picture, plan.

Ugaritic: yşr, create, shape; yşrm, potters.

sr IV: sharpen.

Hebrew: *sor*, pebble, flint.

Arabic: zurra, sharpen; mizarra, flint.

Akkadian: şurru, flint.

Just as different roots are obscured in Hebrew, so in Egyptian which did not use the emphatics at all. Semitic s (hence d > s and z > s) is normally shown in Egyptian as j and we must look to the root jr in Egyptian to find cognates for the Semitic roots noted here.

There are several Egyptian words which are cognate to $\mathfrak{s}r$ I: "enclose, be in distress". Ember noted long ago that the hieroglyph $\mathfrak{J}r$, suggested a word "bundle," though "sheaf" would be more

⁽¹⁾ That is, a secondary wife who, in this interesting twist of the root, is shown to be hostile or potentially so, a rather normal state of affairs judging by the extant literature.

⁽²⁾ Or $s\bar{e}ru$, etc.? The use of z in Akkadian to represent Arabic d is not normal; the Akkadian reflex of d is usually s. CAD Vol. Z, p. 99, denies the existence of a root $s\bar{e}ru$ in Akkadian. I hesitate to dispute the editors of CAD but the position of the Akkadian root in the series under examination is clear and the Arabic cognate certainly points to an Akkadian $s\bar{e}ru$.

appropriate (1). From the idea of "enclose" come Egyptian jrw, "border, boundary, end" (2), jry.t, "dwelling" (3), and jrw.t, "coffin" (4). From the sense "be in distress" the common Egyptian noun jrr, "distress, need" (5), should be considered though this represents a phonetic difficulty in the presence of the medial j (6).

With sr II, "be hostile," we must certainly compare Egyptian jrjri, used both as a verb, "be hostile," and as a noun, "foreigner, hostile stranger" (7). In Pap. Beatty I, 6.6 to 7.11, jrjri is used several times as a designation for a stranger who has threatened to take what is not rightfully his. In Pap. Sallier IV, Rt. 4,2 is the phrase: $in\ iw\ mr.t\ jrjri\ r\ sn(.t)\ ...$, "Do you want to be hostile against your brother ...?" which is a slightly altered form of the same passage in Pap. Beatty I, 9.6: "Do you love the foreigner (jrjri) more than the brother ...?" A sense of hostility is also shown in Ptahhotep 348-49 where jrjri is contrasted with q, "friend," and in Lebensmiide 116-18 and 123-25 where it is contrasted with sn, "brother" (8). It would thus appear that the basic sense of jrjri is "be hostile" which is quite compatible with the notion "foreigner, stranger" since foreign-

- (1) ZÄS 49 (1912), 94; cf. Gardiner, Egyptian Grammar; 2d ed. rev. (London, 1950), Sign List, No. M 36.
 - (2) Wörterbuch V, 586 ff. Note also jrww, "side," of Wörterbuch V, 602.
- (3) Wörterbuch V, 600.7-12; this is no doubt the same as the preceding word, iri.t, used in earlier times.
- (4) Wörterbuch V, 601.3. Both "dwelling" and "coffin" are enclosures and this semantic relationship between a home and the grave is often seen in both Egyptian and Semitic: Egyptian $pr \ n \ j.t.$, $ht \ nt \ nhh$, which are paralleled by Hebrew $b\bar{e}th$ ' $\bar{o}lam$, "eternal house = grave" (Eccles. 12:5) and Phoenician $\bar{s}th$ b'lm, "his place of eternity = tomb" (Ahiram).
- (5) Wörterbuch V, 524 ff.; cf. Gardiner, JEA 9 (1924), 18, n. 8, and JEA 16 (1930), 22.
- (6) Note, however, Egyptian hig, "be glad, joyous," = Hebrew $h\bar{a}gag$, "celebrate a feast," Arabic hajja, "make a pilgrimage" Yeivin, Kemi 6 (1933), 77; Egyptian bibi, "cave, hole," = Arabic $b\bar{a}b$, Akkadian $b\bar{a}bu$, "door, gate" Ember, Egypto-Semitic Studies (Vienna, 1930), p. 43, Fakhry, ASAE 37 (1937), 31, n. 1.
 - (7) Wörterbuch V, 604.6-13.
- (8) Among examples collected by Gardiner in his Notes on the Story of Sinuhe (Paris, 1916), pp. 74-76, 161, where he concludes that most occurrences of this word convey the notion of hostility though some have the idea "foreign, strange". The various manuscripts of Ptahhotep have now been newly edited by Žába, Le maximes de Ptahhotep (Prague, 1956), and Faulkner has recently restudied Lebensmüde, in JEA 42 (1956), 21 ff.

ers were normally considered unfriendly (1). The root j̃rj̃ri must be a duplicated form of j̃r, "keep at a distance," etc. (2) which can also convey the idea of hostility: n j̃r rd.k m pt, n hsf.k m ts, "Your foot is not impeded in heaven, you are not opposed on earth" (Pyr. 622d); im.k j̃r m hs m-s ssh.k, "Thou shouldst not be at enmity with they neighbors" (lit. be hostile in fighting with) (3); mi iw ssw j̃r.f hqr, "just like satiety comes and drives hunger away" (Peasant 243).

With $\bar{s}r$ IV, "sharpen," can be compared Coptic $\bar{j}\bar{o}r$, "sharpen," though no earlier evidence of this word is known in Egyptian.

VI. Egyptian sči = Semitic nsk

Egyptian $s\check{c}i$, "sow (grain), strew about" (4), is cognate to Semitic \sqrt{nsk} : Ugaritic-Hebrew nsk, "pour (water), cast (metal)," Akkadian $nas\bar{a}ku$, "throw, pour, cast (metal)" (5). The absence of n in the Egyptian word is paralleled elsewhere and Semitic k > Egyptian \check{c} by the well-established process of palatalization (6). An interesting problem are the Egyptian verbs sti, "pour (liquid)," and sti, "pour (grain)" (7), which are obviously the same root and which share the same semantic range as $s\check{c}i/nsk$. Rather than connect these with sti, "throw, shoot," (see next paragraph) it is probable that they belong here and we can suggest the shift $\check{c} > t$ within Egyptian which is already evidenced in the Pyramid Texts (8).

- (1) Universally in ancient literature, wandering strangers are asked why they are away from home, either with spoken or implied threats; Odyssey, III, 71 ff., Gilgamesh IX, ii, 19-22, Shipwrecked Sailor 69 ff., Sinuhe B 34 ff., Beowulf 254-57, etc.
 - (2) Wörterbuch V, 595.5-9.
- (3) Černý and Gardiner, *Hieratic Ostraca* I, pl. I, Vs. 9. Note Hebrew sarōr 'eth-hammidhyanīm, "Be hostile against the Midinites!" Num. 25:17.
- (4) Wörterbuch IV, 346-47. Probably also related is sći, "pour out semen = beget."
- (5) Especially, instructive in the use of nasāku to indicate the pouring of grain on a threshing-floor; Kupper, Archives royales de Mari III, Correspondance de Kibri-Dagan (Paris, 1950), No. 78,7-8.
- (6) For the n, cf. infra, § X, note 4. For palatalization, cf. Vergote, Phonétique historique de l'égyptien (Louvain, 1945), p. 34, and Ward, JAOS 80 (1960), 324.
 - (7) Wörterbuch IV, 328-29.
- (8) Vergote, Phonétique historique, p. 36; Edel, Altägyptisches Grammatik I, p. 49.

Egypt.)

VII. Egyptian sti = Semitic št

VIII. Egyptian δd = Semitic δd

The root δd in Egyptian has to do with irrigated land used for crops or other types of well-watered land: δdy , "field", $\delta dy.t$, a kind of land, δdw , "plot of ground" (6). The common use of the phrase $\delta dy.t$ δs would seem to indicate that $\delta dy.t$ means land which is contiguous to a marsh (δs). The δdw is the small holding of a peasant, at least in Middle Kingdom times (7). This root must be cognate to Ugaritic δd = Hebrew $\delta \bar{a}dheh$, "field" (8). Ugaritic δd

- (1) Wörterbuch IV, 326 and 325.
- (2) Sti, "pour", belongs to a different root which may be sči; cf. preceding paragraph.
- (3) Ember, Egypto-Semitic Studies, pp. 77, 113; Albright, AJSL 34 (1918), 220. Albright equates Egyptian sti, "shoot," with Semitic \sqrt{wst} , "stretch" (ibid., p. 247), but the equation proposed here is preferable.
 - (4) Ugaritic Text 51:V:106.
 - (5) Azitawadd I, 16.
 - (6) Wörterbuch IV, 568-69.
 - (7) Peasant B, 303; Lebensmüde 69.
- (8) Ugaritic δdmt , "fields," = Hebrew $\delta \epsilon d\bar{\epsilon}m\bar{\delta}th$; this and $\delta d=\delta dheh$ illustrate how Ugaritic converges δ and δ into δ ; Gordon, Ugaritic Manual (Rome, 1955), sect. 5.12. The Semitic phoneme δ is shown in Egyptian as δ or δ in both Egypto-Semitic roots and in later Semitic loans. This relationship follows a regular pattern:

	Egypto-Semitic	Semitic Loans (Late
Egyptian	s š	s š
Arabic	s š	šs
Hebrew	š Ś	ś š
Ugaritic	š š	š š
Proto-Semitic	·	

Note that the relationship is exactly reversed between the earlier Egypto-

probably designates the amount of land tilled by one peasant (1) and is further used to indicate a lengthy measure though this is not to be taken literally since it occurs in poetic texts (2). The $\dot{s}d$ was no doubt used in both Egyptian and Ugaritic as a rough measure of land though its size is unknown (3). If Akkadian $\dot{s}ad\bar{u}$, "mountain," is cognate to this root, cf. Egyptian $\dot{s}dv$, t, "mound, refuse heap, lump."

IX. Egyptian wbs = Semitic wbl

The Egyptian noun wbs (4) in its most common usage during the Empire means a "butler" who is closely connected with the preparation and serving of food, especially with liquids drunk at meals (5). While very frequently a royal official (wbs, nsw), the wbs also served in private households (6). The original idea seems to be that of carrying or bringing a thing and, in some earlier examples, the individual having this title appears to be a common household servant (7).

The Semitic counterpart is \sqrt{wbl} : Ugaritic ybl, Hebrew yābhal, Akkadian (w)abālu, "bring, carry" (8). In Akkadian, a derived noun

Semitic series and the later Semitic loan series. Deviations from this pattern are caused by conditioned phonetic shifts.

⁽¹⁾ Cf. Virollaud, Palais royal d'Ugarit II (Paris, 1957), No. 104, etc.

⁽²⁾ Gordon, Ugaritic Manual, Glossary, No. 929.

⁽³⁾ By virtue of the related verb δdi , "dig, bury," perhaps the Egyptian δdw was the average amount of land a man could plow or dig up in a day. Such methods are quite commonly used to determine peasant holdings in agricultural societies. Cf. Arabic $fadd\bar{a}n$, "one day's labor; field".

⁽⁴⁾ Wörterbuch I, 292.

⁽⁵⁾ Gardiner, Ancient Egyptian Onomastica (Oxford, 1947), I, p. 43*. Prof. Morris Seale of the Near East School of Theology, Beirut, suggests to me that this may explain Hebrew yōbel, a ram's horn used as drinking cup.

⁽⁶⁾ Tylor and Griffith, Paheri (London, 1894), pl. 7: wbi n pi hity- Pi-hry, here shown presenting a metal bowl containing liquid of some kind to guests. Six servants are portrayed thus though only one other, the wbi Teti, is given a name or title. We may judge from this that there were several servants who performed such tasks in important homes and this group was headed by a chief wbi. It is not hard to see in this particular instance a household servant much like the modern sufragī of Egyptian households whose sole duties are to wait on the table at mealtime.

⁽⁷⁾ Westcar XI, 19, in this case the feminine wbjy.t.

⁽⁸⁾ Egyptian primae infirmae roots with initial w quite frequently reflect an original Proto-semitic w (> Northwest Semitic y): Egyptian

means "bearer" (1) and an instructive title gives us the ba-bi-il tup-pi-im $\xi[a\ \bar{e}ka]llim\ [a-na\ Ma-r]i^{ki}$, "the courier (lit. bearer of tablets) for the palace of Mari" (2). The semantic relationship between one who carries tablets and one who carries bowls is obvious.

There can be no doubt that the single occurrence of the root wbs as a verb in Egyptian is also to be included here. This is in the Leiden Magical Papyrus in a passage bristling with obscurities — as is most of the manuscript (3). The selection is taken from some otherwise unknown myth (4) and has to do with blood that has been poured on the ground. The goddess Anath brings several bowls to collect the blood (5) and then $iw.s.hr.rdi[t]wbs.st.ns.khb.w.n.Ps-R^c$, "she

 w_{j} , "go," = Ugaritic-Hebrew y_{s} ," go forth"; Egyptian w_{b} , "seek," = Arabic $wah\bar{a}$, "purpose, aim at"; Egyptian wsl, "saw," = Arabic $was\bar{a}$, "shave"; etc. The shift of Semitic l to Egyptian s is extremely common in Egypto-Semitic roots.

⁽¹⁾ Cf. Amarna ubilimi, "bearer," and Mari [w]a-bi-[i]l tup-pi-im [a]n-ni-e-em, "the bearer of this tablet," in Dossin, Archives royales de Mari IV, Correspondance de Samsi-addu (Paris, 1951), No. 3, 6-7.

⁽²⁾ Dossin, Archives royales de Mari V, Correspondance de Ismahaddu (Paris, 1952), No. 38, 5-7. For the phonetic correspondance of wabīl/babīl, cf. Von Soden, Grundriss der akkadischen Grammatik (Rome, 1952), sect. 103, i-j. The office was that of a courier or an official messenger; cf. Jean, Archives royales de Mari II, Lettres diverses (Paris, 1950), No. 141, 5-6; Bottéro, Archives royales de Mari VII, Textes économiques et administratifs (Paris, 1957), No. 21.

⁽³⁾ Massart, The Leiden Magical Papyrus I 343 + I 345 (Leiden, 1954), Rt. IV, 2.

⁽⁴⁾ Canaanite? Not only is the goddess Anath a prominent figure but note particularly in Rt. III, 13: iw.s hr int 7 dd nt hj 8 dd nt hsmn, "she brings seven bowls of silver, eight bowls of bronze," which does not mean "fifteen jugs" (as Massart translates) but is the common way of saying "many" in Semitic poetry. Cf. šb' šnt yṣrk b'l tmn rkb 'rpt, "seven years may Baal fall, yea eight, Rider of Clouds" (I Aqht 42-44); and note the use of 7/8 in Hebrew (Eccles. 11:2). 77/88 is similarly used (Gordon, Ugaritic Manual, sect. 7.60) as is 3/4 (Amos 1:3, et passim). Such number sequences are, of course, quite common in Hebrew and used for much the same reason: 3-4 (Amos 1:3, et passim); 6-7 (Job 5:19); 7-8 (Eccles. 11:2); etc.

⁽⁵⁾ iw.s hr iwy n, n snf n P;-R' hr p, iwtn, "she iwy the blood of Pre on the ground" (Rt. III, 13-IV,1). The difficult verb (a) (b) (c) (c) (iwy has usually been taken to be iwy, "to water," and Massart (op. cit., p. 62, n. 28) suggests that iwy ... hr means "pour upon"; this makes no sense, however, since the blood has already been spilled on the ground. Iwy here lacks the normal liquid determinatives (Wörterbuch I, 49.1) and

caused the Khb.w to carry it to Pre (in the bowls)"(1). While the sense here is that the blood was "presented" to Pre, the literal meaning is a causative $(rdi\ wb)$ (2).

X. Egyptian i s t / n s t = Semitic * t t

The Egyptian noun ist occurs only once in the phrase ist hr r spty. fy, "saliva falling from his lips," which stands among the symptoms to be looked for in examining a man with a serious head wound (3). With this we may connect Arabic nafata, "spit, bleed," naft, "spittle." (4) Probably also to be related is Ugaritic wpt, appearing only

this is the only example known written in this manner and with this supposed meaning. It is possible that *iwy* is an unknown verb meaning "collect, gather"? Anath has brought several bowls apparently for this purpose; the blood is then presented to Pre, most probably collected in these bowls.

The Semitic phoneme \underline{t} appears in Egyptian as s or \underline{s} : Egyptian sn.wy, "two," = Arabic ' $\underline{itn\bar{a}n}$, Ugaritic \underline{tny} , Hebrew $\underline{senayim}$; Egyptian \underline{wsb} , "answer," = Arabic $\underline{t\bar{a}b}$, Ugaritic \underline{twb} , Hebrew \underline{subh} , "return." For the semantics of the latter root, cf. Ward, JNES 20 (1961), 37.

⁽¹⁾ Rt. IV, 1-2.

⁽²⁾ Note the δ (causative) of $(w)ab\bar{a}lu$, "tragen lassen, bringen lassen".

⁽³⁾ Breasted, The Edwin Smith Surgical Papyrus (Chicago, 1930), pl. III, 3-4; the meaning is obvious from the passage even though this example is unique. This word does not seem to be related to the root \$fi, "swell," and its derivatives, cognate to Arabic 'ašāf (šwf IV), "be high"; cf. Ember, Egypto-Semitic Studies, p. 53, and Albright AJSL 34 (1918), 249. The noun išf is listed as iš in Grapow (ed.), Grundriss der Medizin der alten Ägypter (Berlin, 1954-), vol. VII, 1, p. 107. The editors render iš-f and translate "his spittle" (ibid., vol. VI, 1, p. 176) though this division of the word is not necessary in the context. Judging from the hieratic original, the scribe did not intend to write a possessive suffix and I prefer to retain Breasted's original išf. The Egyptian cognates noted here have undergone metathesis, a phenomenon of some importance in Egypto-Semitic studies.

⁽⁴⁾ The *n*-prefix added to biconsonantal roots by analogic levelling is an Egypto-Semitic feature: Von Soden, Grundriss der akkadischen Grammatik, sect. 102; Edel, Altägyptisches Grammatik, I, sect. 427. Other Egyptian roots showing an initial weak consonant where Semitic cognates show n are: Egyptian wgp, "crush," = Arabic wajafa, Hebrew $n\bar{a}gaph$, Akkadian $nak\bar{a}pu$, "beat, strike"; Egyptian wdh, "sprinkle," = Arabic nadaha, "sprinkle"; Egyptian δwi , "raise oneself," = Semitic $\sqrt{ns'}$, "raise"; Egyptian $w\delta d$, "speak to, address," = Arabic $na\delta ada$, "speak to, recite."

in one passage, which Gordon tentatively suggests is cognate to Arabic nafata (1). The interesting suggestion of Gaster that the context in which this passage occurs "relates how Baal complains of insults which are being levelled at him constantly by the gods " makes this identification even more likely (2). An excellent parallel for gods "spitting" at another god as a supreme, insult is found in Pap. Beatty I. 12, 3-4: wn in to psit 's sgb's wn in. sn hr bs(w) pgsw r-hr-n Hr. "Then the Ennead cried a great shout and they vomited and spat at Horus." Gordon's tentative equation of Ugaritic wpt with Arabic nafata is thus validated: Baal is being subjected to a series of indignities among which is the distasteful, but effective, insult of spitting. Another rare Egyptian noun which can be connected to this root is nst. wv (Pvr. 230a) which Sethe ingeniously guessed to be the poisonbearing glands of a serpent (3), a guess proved correct by the Egyptian noun isf and Arabic nafata which, incidentally, also means "to eject venom " (snake).

XI. Egyptian j^e = Semitic * z^e

The Egyptian noun j^c , "wind" (4), indicates the howling wind that accompanies a storm: "They foretold the wind (j^c) before it had come, the storm $(n \delta n y)$ before it happened" (5); "Then they caused the sky to come with wind (j^c) and rain (hwy.t)" (6); "... like the calm of the sky after a noisy wind" (7). Simpson points out that j^c

- (1) Ugaritic Text 51:III:13 = 51:IV:13. Cf. Gordon, Ugaritic Manual, Glossary, No. 588.
- (2) BASOR 101 (1946), 23. Though Gaster translates yqm wywptn as "There they go reviling me," the meaning "spit" fits very nicely here, particularly in the light of the Egyptian parallel to this theme of spitting as an insult to a deity.
- (3) Sethe, Kommentar I, 194; these glands are what "eject" or "spit out" the poison. Note also a verb $n\check{s}f\check{s}f$ (Pyr. 1788) which is completely obscure though the determinative and phonetic structure make it likely that this is a reduplicated form with the n-prefix.
 - (4) Wörterbuch V, 533.11-534.6.
- (5) Shipwrecked Sailor 31, indicating the heavy, howling winds that precede the breaking of a storm. The sail-determinative makes sure that this word actually means "wind" and not the storm itself.
 - (6) Pap. Westcar XI, 14.
- (7) Peasant B, 244, j' qi, literally "high wind," though qi can also mean "loud, noisy"; cf. "Then Thoth spoke loudly (m qi)" in Peasant B, 26. An exactly similar usage is found with Arabic 'āl, as in ṣawt 'āl, "a loud voice."

in later times also indicates "an impending torrent of words" (1). The Semitic cognate is the originally biconsonantal root z^c ; Hebrew $z\bar{u}^c$, "tremble, toss to and fro," Arabic za^cza^c , "agitate, shake; tickle," za^cza^c , "violent wind" (2). Semitic z is normally shown in Egyptian as j in Egypto-Semitic roots (3).

- (1) IAOS 78 (1958), 50.
- (2) It is possible that Arabic zaġzaġ, "tickle," zaġzaġ 'al-kalām, "speak softly," zaġzaġat, "noise," is a doublet with zaʿzaʿ (secondary meaning, "tickle") and we may compare Ugaritic zġ: arh tzġ, "the cow lows," zġt klb, "barking of a dog" (Gordon, Ugaritic Manual, Glossary, No. 604). Note Arabic zāʿ, "urge a beast with a bridle," and zāġ, "pull an animal with a rein." for another such doublet with 'and ġ.
- an animal with a rein, " for another such doublet with ' and \dot{g} .

 (3) Egyptian h_1 'n, " be angry," = Arabic hazana, " be grieved, choked"; Egyptian ' \dot{f} , " be strong, safe," = Semitic 'zz, " be strong"; etc.